

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Isn't this direct electioneering by a media corporation -- and against federal election law? Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest, which includes practicing non-partisanship broadcasting standards. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.

Erin Mooney